arXiv:1704.06782v1 [math.GN] 22 Apr 2017

This work appeared in "Continuum theory: In Honor of Professor David P. Bellamy on the Occasion of His 60th Birthday", I. W. Lewis, S. Macías, S. B. Nadler (eds.), Aportaciones Mat. Investig. **19** (2007), pp. 71–84.

THE NON-EXISTENCE OF COMMON MODELS FOR SOME CLASSES OF HIGHER-DIMENSIONAL HEREDITARILY INDECOMPOSABLE CONTINUA

JERZY KRZEMPEK[1] AND ELŻBIETA POL[1]

Abstract. A continuum K is a common model for the family $\mathcal{K}$ of continua if every member of $\mathcal{K}$ is a continuous image of K. We show that none of the following classes of spaces has a common model: 1) the class of strongly chaotic hereditarily indecomposable n-dimensional Cantor manifolds, for any given natural number n, 2) the class of strongly chaotic hereditarily indecomposable hereditarily strongly infinite-dimensional Cantor manifolds, 3) the class of strongly chaotic hereditarily indecomposable continua with transfinite dimension (small or large) equal to α, for any given ordinal number $\alpha < \omega_1$.

1. Introduction

Our terminology follows [10]. By *dimension* we understand the covering dimension dim unless otherwise stated. By a *continuum* we mean a compact connected space (we assume that all our spaces are metrizable and separable). A continuum X is *indecomposable*, if it is not the union of two proper subcontinua. A continuum X is *hereditarily indecomposable*, abbreviated HI, if every subcontinuum of X is indecomposable. A continuum X is *strongly chaotic*, if for any two disjoint subsets U and V of X, with U being nonempty and open, there is no homeomorphism from U onto V. All our mappings are meant to be continuous.

We will say that a continuum K is a *common model* for the class $\mathcal{K}$ of continua, if each member of $\mathcal{K}$ is a continuous image of K (we do not assume that $K \in \mathcal{K}$). Z.Waraszkiewicz [36] constructed in 1932 a family of plane continua (called the Waraszkiewicz spirals) without a common model. Applying this result, D.P.Bellamy [3] has shown that the collection of all indecomposable continua has no common model. Other collections of indecomposable continua without a common model were constructed, among others, by R.L.Russo [33] (collection of planar indecomposable tree-like continua), W.T.Ingram [13] (collection of planar hereditarily indecomposable tree-like continua) and T.Maćkowiak and

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 54F15, 54F45.

Key words and phrases. common model, hereditarily indecomposable continua, Waraszkiewicz spirals, n-dimensional Cantor manifolds, hereditarily strongly infinite-dimensional, countable-dimensional.

[1]Research partially supported by MNiSW Grant Nr. N201 034 31/2717.

Dedicated to D.P.Bellamy on the occasion of his 60th birthday.

E.D.Tymchatyn [25] (collection of 1-dimensional hereditarily indecomposable continua). More information concerning classes of continua with or without a common model can be found in [25].

In this paper we will show that there is no common model for the class $\mathcal{K}$ of strongly chaotic hereditarily indecomposable continua which are either a) n-dimensional Cantor manifolds, for any given natural number n, or b) hereditarily strongly infinite-dimensional Cantor manifolds, or else c) countable-dimensional continua with transfinite dimension (small or large) equal to α, for any given $\alpha < \omega_1$.

For any of the collections $\mathcal{K}$ we have described, we will find a family of Waraszkiewicz spirals without a common model such that for every spiral W from this family there exists a continuum in $\mathcal{K}$ which can be mapped (continuously) onto W.

To this end, we shall apply, among others, some results from [28] and a theorem of J.T.Rogers, Jr. from [32].

In the last section we will strengthen some of the results from the preceding section using a method suggested by an anonymous referee of the paper [27] (see Remark 5.2 in [27]). Namely, we will show that for every collection $\mathcal{K}$ mentioned above and for every compactification W of the ray there exists a continuum in $\mathcal{K}$ which can be mapped onto W.

2. Preliminaries

The first hereditarily indecomposable continuum, now called the pseudoarc, was constructed by B.Knaster [17]. The first examples of n-dimensional HI continua, for every $n = 2, 3, \ldots, \infty$, were given by R.H.Bing [5] in 1951. Several constructions of collections of non-homeomorphic higher-dimensional HI continua, with different additional properties, were given in [27], [28], [29], [30] and [31].

A compact n-dimensional space is an *n-dimensional Cantor manifold* if no closed subset L of X with $\dim L \leq n-2$ disconnects X (see [10], sec.1.9).

Below by the *ray* we will understand a space homeomorphic to the half-line $[0, +\infty)$. By a *Waraszkiewicz spiral* we mean a member of a family of planar continua without a common model, constructed by Z.Waraszkiewicz [36]. Every Waraszkiewicz spiral is a compactification of the ray with the remainder homeomorphic to the circle.

Definition 2.1. Below by $\{W_K : K \in \mathcal{S}\}$, where $\mathcal{S}$ is some set of cardinality $2^{\aleph_0}$, we will denote a family consisting of some Waraszkiewicz spirals such that

(1) no continuum X can be mapped onto uncountably many of the spirals W_K.

The proof that there exists such a family, can be found, for example, in the paper of T.Maćkowiak and E.D.Tymchatyn [25] (see (20.3), (20.4) and (20.9)). As pointed out by the authors, see [25], page 49, their proof is based on an unpublished construction by D.P.Bellamy. A new construction of a family of Waraszkiewicz spirals without a common model, satisfying the condition (1), was also presented by D.P.Bellamy at the 2004 Spring Topology nad Dynamics Conference in Birmingham, Alabama.

Let us recall also the following theorem of J.T.Rogers, Jr. (see [32], Theorem 4):

(2) for each Waraszkiewicz spiral W there exists a 1-dimensional plane continuum $\hat{W}$ such that each nondegenerate subcontinuum of $\hat{W}$ can be mapped onto W.

Using this theorem, M.Reńska [31] has proved that

(3) for every natural number n and for every Waraszkiewicz spiral W there exists an HI n-dimensional Cantor manifold every n-dimensional subcontinuum of which can be mapped onto W.

Although this fact was not stated explicitly by Reńska, its proof can be easily extracted from the proof of Lemma 3.4 in [31]. From (3) it follows that there is no common model for HI n-dimensional Cantor manifolds.

Using the result (2) of Rogers in a different way, we will obtain the following strengthening of (3).

Lemma 2.2. *For every natural number n and for every Waraszkiewicz spiral W there exists an HI n-dimensional Cantor manifold $X(W)$ such that every nontrivial subcontinuum of $X(W)$ can be mapped onto W.*

Proof. Fix a Waraszkiewicz spiral W and let $\hat{W}$ be a continuum satisfying (2), constructed by Rogers. Let $X = \prod_{i=1}^{n+1} X_i$, where $X_i = \hat{W}$ for every i, and let $p_i : X \to X_i$ be a projection. Then X is $(n+1)$-dimensional (see [10], Problem 1.8.K (b)), so, by a theorem of Bing [5], it contains an n-dimensional HI continuum Y. Let $X(W) \subset Y$ be an n-dimensional Cantor manifold (see [10], Theorem 1.9.9). Then for any nontrivial subcontinuum Z of $X(W)$, the projection $p_i(Z)$ is nontrivial for some i. Since the continuum $p_i(Z)$ can be mapped onto W, the same is true for Z.

We shall recall now some notions concerning infinite-dimensional spaces. A space X is *strongly infinite-dimensional*, if there exists an infinite sequence $(A_1, B_1), (A_2, B_2), \ldots$ of pairs of disjoint closed sets in X, such that if L_i is a partition between A_i and B_i in X, then $\bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} L_i \neq \emptyset$. A space X is *hereditarily strongly infinite-dimensional*, if every subspace of X is either 0-dimensional or strongly infinite-dimensional. The first example of a hereditarily strongly infinite-dimensional continuum was given by L.Rubin [34] (cf. also [10], Problem 6.1.C). An infinite-dimensional continuum X is an *infinite-dimensional Cantor manifold* if every closed subset of X which disconnects X is infinite-dimensional. An infinite-dimensional Cantor manifold, which is a hereditarily strongly infinite-dimensional continuum, will be called a hereditarily strongly infinite-dimensional Cantor manifold. The *small (large) transfinite dimension* ind (Ind) is the transfinite extension of the classical small (large) inductive dimension (see [10], Definitions 7.1.1 and 7.1.11). A compact metrizable space X has small (equivalently, large) transfinite dimension if and only if it is countable dimensional, i.e. it is the union of countably many finite-dimensional sets.

Lemma 2.3. *For every Waraszkiewicz spiral W there exists an HI hereditarily strongly infinite-dimensional Cantor manifold $X(W)$ such that every nontrivial subcontinuum of $X(W)$ can be mapped onto W.*

Proof. Fix a spiral W. Let $\hat{W}$ be a continuum satisfying (2), constructed by Rogers. Then the countable product $X = \prod_{i=1}^{\infty} X_i$, where $X_i = \hat{W}$ for every i, is strongly infinite-dimensional (see [22] or [10], Problem 6.1.H (b)). By a theorem of Rubin [34], X contains a hereditarily strongly infinite-dimensional compactum Y (see also [10], Problem 6.1.G). By a theorem of Tumarkin [35], every hereditarily strongly infinite-dimensional compactum contains an infinite-dimensional Cantor manifold and by a theorem of Bing [5], every continuum can be separated by a closed set all of whose components are hereditarily indecomposable. Thus Y contains an HI hereditarily strongly infinite-dimensional Cantor manifold Z. Since $Z \subset \prod_{i=1}^{\infty} X_i$, then every nontrivial subcontinuum of Z has a nontrivial projection onto some X_i, hence it can be mapped onto W.

Remark 2.4. Let $\{W_K : K \in \mathcal{S}\}$ be a family of Waraszkiewicz spirals from Definition 2.1 and let n be any natural number. For $K \in \mathcal{S}$, let $X(W_K)$ be an HI n-dimensional Cantor manifold constructed in Lemma 2.2 for $W = W_K$ (respectively, let $X(W_K)$ be an HI hereditarily strongly infinite-dimensional Cantor manifold constructed in Lemma 2.3 for $W = W_K$). Then

(4) no continuum X has non-constant mappings into uncountably many of the continua $X(W_K)$.

Thus, for every countable family $\{X_i : i = 1, 2, \ldots\}$ of continua, there exists an HI n-dimensional Cantor manifold (respectively, an HI hereditarily strongly infinite-dimensional Cantor manifold) $X(W_K)$, where K is some element of $\mathcal{S}$, such that no X_i can be mapped onto a nontrivial subcontinuum of $X(W_K)$.

Applying the transfinite induction, we obtain that

(5) there exists a family $\{X_\alpha : \alpha < \omega_1\}$ of HI n-dimensional Cantor manifolds (respectively, of HI hereditarily strongly infinite-dimensional Cantor manifolds) such that for every $\alpha < \beta < \omega_1$, every mapping from X_α to X_β is constant.

We shall present now a method of condensation of singularities, which will be used in our constructions.

The method of condensation of singularities goes back to Z.Janiszewski [15]. It was refined by R.D.Anderson and G.Choquet [2] and developed essentially by T.Maćkowiak [23], [24]. It is closely related to V.Fedorchuk's "method of resolutions" [11], modified also by V.A.Chatyrko [7].

A subcontinuum Y of a continuum X is *terminal*, if every subcontinuum of X which intersects both Y and its complement must contain Y. A continuum X is HI if and only if every subcontinuum of X is terminal in X. A mapping $p : X \to Y$ is *atomic*, if every fiber $p^{-1}(y)$ is a terminal subcontinuum of X.

A *composant* of a point x in a continuum X is the union of all proper subcontinua of X containing x. Composants are dense connected F_σ-subsets of X and every HI continuum X has $2^{\aleph_0}$ composants which are pairwise disjoint and boundary in X.

Theorem 2.5. *Let X be a continuum, $\{K_i : i \in N\}$ a sequence of continua and $\{a_i : i \in N\}$ a sequence of different points of X. Then there exist a continuum $L(X, K_i, a_i)$ and an atomic mapping $p : L(X, K_i, a_i) \to X$ such that if $A = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty}\{a_i\}$, then*

(i) *$p^{-1}(a_i)$ is a boundary set homeomorphic to K_i, for $i \in N$,*
(ii) *$p \mid p^{-1}(X \setminus A) : p^{-1}(X \setminus A) \to X \setminus A$ is a homeomorphism,*
(iii) *if A is dense in X then every non-empty open subset of $L(X, K_i, a_i)$ contains $p^{-1}(a_i)$ for some $i \in N$,*
(iv) *if X and all K_i are HI then $L(X, K_i, a_i)$ is HI,*
(v) *if n and α are ordinal numbers such that $\mathrm{ind}X \leq n < \omega_0$ and $n \leq \mathrm{ind}K_i \leq \alpha < \omega_1$ for every $i \in N$ then $\mathrm{ind}L(X, K_i, a_i) \leq \alpha$, and the same is true if we replace* ind *by* Ind,
(vi) *if X is an n-dimensional Cantor manifold, $\dim K_i \leq n$ and for every composant L of X the set $L \cap A$ is finite, then $L(X, K_i, a_i)$ is an n-dimensional Cantor manifold,*
(vii) *if X and all K_i are hereditarily strongly infinite-dimensional, then so is $L(X, K_i, a_i)$,*
(viii) *if X is an infinite-dimensional Cantor manifold then so is $L(X, K_i, a_i)$.*

For the proof of this theorem see [30], Theorem 3.2 and [28], Theorem 2.1. Roughly speaking, the space $L(X, K_i, a_i)$ is obtained from continuum X by replacing points a_i by continua K_i.

Remark 2.6. In the case when $a_1 = a$, $K_1 = A$ and all continua K_i for $i \geq 2$ are degenerate, we will denote the space $L(X, K_i, a_i)$ by $M(X, A, a)$. More generally, given two continua X and A and a point $a \in X$, we will denote by $M(X, A, a)$ and call a *pseudosuspension of A over X at a point a* (cf. [23]) every continuum $M(X, A, a)$ such that there exists an atomic mapping $p : M(X, A, a) \to X$ onto X such that $p^{-1}(a)$ is homeomorphic to A and $p \mid p^{-1}(X \setminus \{a\}) : p^{-1}(X \setminus \{a\}) \to X \setminus \{a\}$ is a homeomorphism.

Using the fact, that $p^{-1}(a)$ is a terminal continuum in $M(X, A, a)$, one can easily show that

(iv)' if X and A are HI then so is $M(X, A, a)$,
(v)' if n and α are ordinal numbers such that $\mathrm{ind}X \leq n < \omega_0$ and $n \leq \mathrm{ind}A \leq \alpha < \omega_1$ then $\mathrm{ind}M(X, A, a) \leq \alpha$, and the same is true if we replace ind by Ind,
(vi)' if X is an n-dimensional Cantor manifold and $\dim A \leq n$ then $M(X, A, a)$ is an n-dimensional Cantor manifold,
(vii)' if X and A are hereditarily strongly infinite-dimensional, then so is $M(X, A, a)$,
(viii)' if X is an infinite-dimensional Cantor manifold then so is $M(X, A, a)$.

3. Strongly chaotic HI continua

Strongly chaotic spaces described in the Introduction were defined by J.J.Charatonik and W.J.Charatonik in [6]. A space X is *strongly rigid*, if the only embedding of X into itself is the identity of X onto X. Every strongly chaotic space is strongly rigid (see [6]). In [8] H.Cook proved that

(6) there exists a one-dimensional HI continuum E such that for any two different nontrivial subcontinua of E, there is no mapping from one onto the other.

In particular, taking a family of $2^{\aleph_0}$ disjoint subcontinua of the Cook continuum E one obtains a family of 1-dimensional strongly chaotic HI continua such that every mapping between distinct continua that belong to this family is constant. Concerning higher-dimensional HI continua, the following result was essentially proved in [28].

Theorem 3.1. *There exists a family $\{Y_\sigma : \sigma \in S\}$, where $\mid S \mid = 2^{\aleph_0}$, consisting of HI continua which are either*

(a) *n-dimensional Cantor manifolds, for any given natural number n, or*
(b) *hereditarily strongly infinite-dimensional Cantor manifolds, or else*
(c) *continua with small (large) transfinite dimension equal to α every open subset of which is infinite-dimensional, for any given infinite ordinal $\alpha < \omega_1$,*

such that for every $\sigma, \tau \in S$,

(i) *every continuum Y_σ is strongly chaotic,*
(ii) *no open nonempty subset of Y_σ embeds in Y_τ if $\sigma \neq \tau$.*

More precisely, the paper [28] contains constructions of such families, but instead of the condition (i) a weaker condition is proved that every Y_σ is strongly rigid (the fact that the constructed spaces are also strongly chaotic is stated in Added in proof). For the sake of completeness, we shall add to the results from [28] some arguments which simplify the original reasoning, and yield the stronger conclusion we shall need.

The following proposition follows from Theorems 1.1, 1.2, 1.3 of [28], but a simpler proof can be obtained directly from Lemmas 3.1, 4.3, 5.2 and 6.1 in [28].

Proposition 3.2. *Let $\mathcal{L}$ be one of the following classes of spaces:*

1) *the class $\mathcal{L}_n$ of n-dimensional HI Cantor manifolds, where $n = 1, 2, \ldots$,*
2) *the class $\mathcal{L}_\infty$ of HI hereditarily strongly infinite-dimensional Cantor manifolds,*
3) *the class $\mathcal{L}_\alpha(\mathrm{ind})$ ($\mathcal{L}_\alpha(\mathrm{Ind})$) of countable-dimensional HI continua with small (large) transfinite dimension equal to α, where $\omega_0 \leq \alpha < \omega_1$.*

Then there exists a family $\{X_t : t \in T\}$ of cardinality $2^{\aleph_0}$ consisting of continua from $\mathcal{L}$ such that if $t \neq t'$ then X_t does not embed in $X_{t'}$.

Proposition 3.3. *Let $\{X_t : t \in T\}$ be an infinite family of continua such that X_t does not embed in $X_{t'}$ for any $t \neq t'$. Let X be any continuum such that no X_t embeds in X. Let $a_1, a_2, \dots$ be a sequence of points such that the set $A = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty}\{a_i\}$ is dense in X. Then*

(i) *for every sequence $\sigma = \{s_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ of different elements of T, the continuum $Y_\sigma = L(X, X_{s_i}, a_i)$ is strongly chaotic,*
(ii) *if $\sigma = \{s_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ and $\tau = \{t_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ are disjoint sequences of different elements of T then no open nonempty subset of Y_σ embeds into Y_τ.*

Proof. Let $\sigma = \{s_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ and $\tau = \{t_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ be disjoint sequences of different elements of S. Note that Y_σ is the union of a subset homeomorphic to a subspace of X and topological copies X'_{s_i} of X_{s_i} for $i = 1, 2, \dots$. Since A is dense in X then every nonempty open subset of Y_σ contains some X'_{s_i}.

Let U be a nonempty open subset of Y_σ and $h : U \to Y_\sigma \setminus U$ be an embedding. Then $X'_{s_i} \subset U$ for some i. Since X'_{s_i} does not embed in X then $h(X'_{s_i})$ intersects a copy X'_{s_j} of some X_{s_j}, where $j \neq i$. Since X'_{s_j} is a terminal continuum in Y_σ, then $h(X'_{s_i}) \subset X'_{s_j}$ or $h(X'_{s_i}) \supset X'_{s_j}$ - a contradiction.

Let U be a nonempty open subset of Y_σ and $h : U \to Y_\tau$ be an embedding. Then $X'_{s_i} \subset U$ for some i. Since X'_{s_i} does not embed in X then $h(X'_{s_i})$ intersects a copy X'_{t_j} of some X_{t_j}. Since X'_{t_j} is a terminal continuum in Y_τ, then $h(X'_{s_i}) \subset X'_{t_j}$ or vice versa - a contradiction.

Proof of Theorem 3.1. Let $\mathcal{L}$ and $\{X_t : t \in T\}$ be as in Proposition 3.2. Choose $t_0 \in T$ and let S be a family of cardinality $2^{\aleph_0}$ consisting of sequences $\sigma = \{s_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ of different elements of $T \setminus \{t_0\}$ such that for $\sigma = \{s_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty} \neq \tau = \{t_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ the sequences $\{s_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ and $\{t_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ are disjoint. In the case of $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}_n$ or $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}_\infty$ let $X = X_{t_0}$. Additionally, in the case of $\mathcal{L}_n$ we assume that the points $a_1, a_2, \dots$ belong to different composants of X. Then the family $\{Y_\sigma : \sigma \in S\}$ consisting of continua Y_σ constructed in Proposition 3.3 satisfies the conditions of Theorem 3.1, since by Theorem 2.5 (iv), (vi), (vii) and (viii), if all X_t and X belong to $\mathcal{L}$ then $Y_\sigma \in \mathcal{L}$. In the case when $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}_\alpha(\text{ind})$ (or $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}_\alpha(\text{Ind})$), let X be any 1-dimensional HI continuum. Then the family $\{Y_\sigma : \sigma \in S\}$ constructed in Proposition 3.3 satisfies the required conditions, since by Theorem 2.5 (iii), (iv) and (v), if all X_t belong to $\mathcal{L}$ and X is finite-dimensional then every Y_σ belongs to $\mathcal{L}$ and every open subset of Y_σ is infinite-dimensional, because it contains a copy of some X_t.

Remark 3.4. (A) As observed in Added in Proof in [28] (where Lemma 3.1 should be changed to Lemma 3.2), the construction given in [28] leads also to strongly chaotic spaces. Indeed, if $\{X_t : t \in T\}$, X and $a_1, a_2, \dots$ are as in Proposition 3.3, both sets $\bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty}\{a_{2j}\}$ and $\bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty}\{a_{2j-1}\}$ are dense in X, and $s_1, s_2, \dots$ is a fixed sequence of different elements of T, then for every $t \in T \setminus \{s_1, s_2, \dots\}$, the space $Z_t = L(X, K_i, a_i)$, where $K_{2j} = X_{s_j}$ and $K_{2j-1} = X_t$, is strongly chaotic and if $t \neq t'$ then no open subset of Z_t embeds in $Z_{t'}$.

(B) Some new examples of strongly chaotic continua were constructed recently in [20].

Lemma 3.5. *If E and A are two continua such that E is strongly chaotic and no open nonempty subset of E embeds in A, then every pseudosuspension $M(E, A, a)$ is strongly chaotic.*

Proof. Let $p : M(E, A, a) \to E$ be an atomic mapping described in Remark 2.6. Suppose that there exist two disjoint subsets U and V of $M(E, A, a)$, with U being nonempty and open, and a homeomorphism f of U onto V. Since $p^{-1}(a)$ is boundary and closed in $M(E, A, a)$, we can assume that U is a subset of $p^{-1}(E \setminus \{a\})$. Since no open subset of E embeds in A, then V is not contained in $p^{-1}(a)$. Thus $V' = V \setminus p^{-1}(a) \neq \emptyset$, hence $f^{-1}(V') = U'$ is a nonempty open subset of $p^{-1}(E \setminus \{a\})$ homeomorphic to V' - a contradiction, since $p^{-1}(E \setminus \{a\})$ is homeomorphic to $E \setminus \{a\}$ and E is strongly chaotic.

4. Classes of HI strongly chaotic continua without common models

In this section we will prove the results stated in the abstract.

Theorem 4.1. *Let $\{W_K : K \in \mathcal{S}\}$ be a family from Definition 2.1 and let n be a natural number. Then there exist a countable set $\mathcal{S}_0 \subset \mathcal{S}$ and a family $\{Y(W_K) : K \in \mathcal{S} \setminus \mathcal{S}_0\}$ consisting of HI strongly chaotic n-dimensional Cantor manifolds such that, for every $K \in \mathcal{S} \setminus \mathcal{S}_0$, $Y(W_K)$ admits a mapping onto W_K. Moreover, if $n \geq 2$, then every subcontinuum of $Y(W_K)$ of dimension greater than 1 admits a mapping onto W_K.*

Proof. Let E be a 1-dimensional HI Cook continuum satisfying (6) and let $\{X_i : i \in N\}$ be a sequence of disjoint nontrivial subcontinua of E. Then X_i does not embed in X_j for any $i \neq j$. For $K \in \mathcal{S}$ let $X(W_K)$ be an HI n-dimensional Cantor manifold constructed in Lemma 2.2 and let $a_1, a_2, \ldots$ be a dense sequence of points in $X(W_K)$ belonging to different composants of $X(W_K)$. By (4), there exists a countable set $\mathcal{S}_0 \subset \mathcal{S}$ such that for any $K \in \mathcal{S} \setminus \mathcal{S}_0$ and $i \in N$, every mapping from X_i into $X(W_K)$ is constant (in particular, X_i does not embed into $X(W_K)$). Fix $K \in \mathcal{S} \setminus \mathcal{S}_0$. Then by Proposition 3.3 and Theorem 2.5 (iv), (vi), the space $Y(W_K) = L(X(W_K), X_i, a_i)$ is an HI strongly chaotic n-dimensional Cantor manifold. The space $Y(W_K)$ has an atomic mapping p_K onto $X(W_K)$ such that $p_K^{-1}(a_i)$ is homeomorphic to X_i and $p_K^{-1}(x)$ is a one-point set for $x \notin \{a_i : i \in N\}$. Since $X(W_K)$ maps onto W_K then $Y(W_K)$ can also be mapped onto W_K. Now, let $n \geq 2$, and let $Z \subset Y(W_K)$ be a subcontinuum of $Y(W_K)$ of dimension greater than 1. Since every $p_K^{-1}(a_i)$ is 1-dimensional, then $p_K(Z)$ is a nontrivial subcontinuum of $X(W_K)$, hence it can be mapped onto W_K.

Theorem 4.2. *Let $\{W_K : K \in \mathcal{S}\}$ be a family from Definition 2.1. Then there exist a countable set $\mathcal{S}_0 \subset \mathcal{S}$ and a family $\{Y(W_K) : K \in \mathcal{S} \setminus \mathcal{S}_0\}$ consisting of HI strongly chaotic hereditarily strongly infinite-dimensional Cantor manifolds such that, for every $K \in \mathcal{S} \setminus \mathcal{S}_0$, $Y(W_K)$ admits a mapping onto W_K.*

Proof. Let $\{X_i : i \in N\}$ be a sequence of HI hereditarily strongly infinite-dimensional Cantor manifolds such that X_i does not embed in X_j for any $i \neq j$ (see Theorem 3.1). For $K \in \mathcal{S}$ let $X(W_K)$ be an HI hereditarily strongly infinite-dimensional Cantor manifold constructed in Lemma 2.3 and let $a_1, a_2, \dots$ be a dense sequence of points in $X(W_K)$ belonging to different composants of $X(W_K)$. By (4), there exists a countable set $\mathcal{S}_0 \subset \mathcal{S}$ such that X_i cannot be mapped onto W_K for every $K \in \mathcal{S} \setminus \mathcal{S}_0$ and every $i = 1, 2, \dots$. Fix $K \in \mathcal{S} \setminus \mathcal{S}_0$. Since every nontrivial subcontinuum of $X(W_K)$ maps onto W_K, then no X_i embeds into $X(W_K)$. Thus, by Proposition 3.3, $Y(W_K) = L(X(W_K), X_i, a_i)$ is strongly chaotic. By Theorem 2.5 (iv), (vii) and (viii), the space $Y(W_K)$ is an HI hereditarily strongly infinite-dimensional Cantor manifold. Since $Y(W_K)$ has a mapping onto $X(W_K)$, $Y(W_K)$ can also be mapped onto W_K.

Theorem 4.3. *Let $\{W_K : K \in \mathcal{S}\}$ be a family from Definition 2.1. For every infinite ordinal number $\alpha < \omega_1$ and for every spiral W_K, where $K \in \mathcal{S}$, there exists a strongly chaotic HI continuum $Y(W_K)$ with* $\operatorname{ind} Y(W_K) = \alpha$ *(respectively,* $\operatorname{Ind} Y(W_K) = \alpha$*), which can be mapped onto W_K.*

Proof. For a countable infinite ordinal α, let $\{X_i : i \in N\}$ be a sequence of continua with small (respectively, large) transfinite dimension equal to α such that X_i does not embed in X_j for any $i \neq j$. Fix $K \in \mathcal{S}$. Then by Lemma 2.2 there exists a 1-dimensional HI continuum $X(W_K)$ which admits a mapping onto W_K. Let $a_1, a_2, \dots$ be a dense sequence of points in $X(W_K)$ belonging to different composants of $X(W_K)$. For every $i \in N$ the continuum X_i is infinite-dimensional, so it does not embed in $X(W_K)$. Thus, by Proposition 3.3, the space $Y(W_K) = L(X(W_K), X_i, a_i)$ is strongly chaotic. By Theorem 2.1 (iv) and (v), the space $Y(W_K)$ is an HI continuum with the small (respectively, large) transfinite dimension equal to α. Since $Y(W_K)$ has an atomic mapping onto $X(W_K)$, then $Y(W_K)$ can also be mapped onto W_K.

From Theorems 4.1 - 4.3 we obtain immediately the following corollary.

Corollary 4.4. *Let $\mathcal{K}$ be one of the following classes of spaces:*

1) *the class of strongly chaotic n-dimensional HI Cantor manifolds, for any natural number n,*
2) *the class of strongly chaotic HI hereditarily strongly infinite-dimensional Cantor manifolds,*
3) *the class of strongly chaotic countable-dimensional HI continua of a given transfinite dimension (small or large) $\alpha < \omega_1$.*

Then $\mathcal{K}$ does not have a common model.

Remark 4.5. Observe that, by (1), for every countable collection $\{X_i : i \in N\}$ of continua there exists a spiral W_K, where $K \in \mathcal{S} \setminus \mathcal{S}_0$ for any given countable $\mathcal{S}_0$, such that no

member of this collection can be mapped onto W_K. From this fact and Theorems 4.1 - 4.3, applying the transfinite induction one obtains that

(7) for every class $\mathcal{K}$ of continua described in Corollary 4.4, there exists a transfinite sequence $\{Z_\alpha : \alpha < \omega_1\}$ of continua from $\mathcal{K}$ such that, for every $\alpha < \beta < \omega_1$, there is no mapping from Z_α onto Z_β.

5. Compactifications of the ray as images of HI strongly chaotic continua

Below we will strengthen some results of the preceding section by using a method suggested by the referee of the paper [27]. This method is based on an idea of J.T.Rogers, Jr. [32], who applied a theorem of Mazurkiewicz from [26] to show that for every continuum X of dimension ≥ 2 and every Waraszkiewicz spiral W there exists a continuum $X_W \subset X$ which admits a mapping f_W onto W. The idea of the referee (see [27], Remark 5.2) is that one can construct a pseudosuspension $M(E, X_W, a)$ of X_W over any HI continuum E at some point a in such a way that f_W extends to $\tilde{f}_W$ from $M(E, X_W, a)$ onto W. This method, described in detail in the following Lemmas 5.1 and 5.2, allows in particular to obtain HI hereditarily strongly infinite-dimensional Cantor manifolds (or HI n-dimensional Cantor manifolds) which can be mapped onto any given Waraszkiewicz spiral, or, more generally, onto any given compactification of the ray. Recall that, as proved in [4] and [1], every continuum is a remainder in some metric compactification of the ray (cf. the proof of Lemma 5.1 below).

Lemma 5.1. *Let $W = L \cup S$ be a compactification of the ray L with the remainder S. Let X and A be two continua, $a \in X$ and $f : A \to W$ be a mapping of A onto W. Suppose that there exists a sequence $A_1 \subset A_2 \subset \ldots$ of subcontinua of A contained in $f^{-1}(L)$ such that the union $\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i$ is dense in A. Then there exists a pseudosuspension $M(X, A, a)$ which admits a mapping $\tilde{f} : M(X, A, a) \to W$ onto W.*

Proof. We adapt the proof of Theorem from the paper [1] by J.M.Aarts and P.van Emde Boas.

Suppose that L is an image of the half-line under a homeomorphism $h : [0, +\infty) \to L$, and fix a metric σ in W. For $z', z'' \in L$, let $L(z', z'')$ denote the arc in L with the end points z' and z''.

One can assume that A is a subset of the Hilbert cube I^∞ with a metric d. Choose a countable dense subset $\{a_1, a_2, \ldots\}$ of $\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i$. For every i, there exists $j(i)$ such that $a_i, a_{i+1} \in A_{j(i)}$.

There exists $m \in N$ such that $f(A_{j(i)})$ is contained in $h([0, m])$. Since $h([0, m])$ is an arc in L, there is an $\epsilon_i > 0$ such that if for $z', z'' \in h([0, m])$ we have $\sigma(z', z'') < \epsilon_i$ then the diameter of the arc $L(z', z'')$ in $h([0, m])$ is less than $\frac{1}{i}$. Thus, from the uniform

continuity of $f \mid A_{j(i)}$, there is a $\delta_i < \frac{1}{i}$ such that if $d(a', a'') < \delta_i$ for $a', a'' \in A_{j(i)}$ then $\sigma(f(a'), f(a'')) < \epsilon_i$ and so the diameter of $L(f(a'), f(a''))$ is less than $\frac{1}{i}$.

Since $A_{j(i)}$ is a continuum, there is a finite δ_i-chain $a_i = a_i^1, a_i^2, \ldots, a_i^{n_i} = a_{i+1}$ of points of $A_{j(i)}$ from a_i to a_{i+1}, i.e., $d(a_i^j, a_i^{j+1}) < \delta_i < \frac{1}{i}$. By the choice of δ_i, the diameter of the arc $L(f(a_i^j), f(a_i^{j+1}))$ is less than $\frac{1}{i}$ for every $j = 1, 2, \ldots, n_i - 1$.

By arranging these δ_i-chains into a sequence $a_1^1, \ldots, a_1^{n_1}, a_2^1, \ldots, a_2^{n_2}, a_3^1, \ldots$ we obtain a countable dense subset $B = \{b_1, b_2, \ldots\}$ of the set $\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i$ with $\lim_{k\to\infty} d(b_k, b_{k+1}) = 0$ such that the diameters of the arcs $L(f(b_k), f(b_{k+1}))$ tend to 0 when $k \to \infty$. Now, let us choose a sequence of points $\{x_1, x_2, \ldots\}$ of $X \setminus \{a\}$ such that $\rho(a, x_{k+1}) < \rho(a, x_k)$ and $\rho(a, x_k) < \frac{1}{k}$ for $k = 1, 2, \ldots$, where ρ is a metric in X.

As in [1], define $g : X \setminus \{a\} \to I^\infty$ in the following way. Put $g(x) = b_1$, if $\rho(a, x) \geq \rho(a, x_1)$, and $g(x) = (1 - t) \cdot b_k + t b_{k+1}$, if $\rho(a, x) = (1 - t) \cdot \rho(a, x_k) + t \cdot \rho(a, x_{k+1})$, where $0 \leq t \leq 1$ (note that $g(x_k) = b_k$). Let $G = \{(x, g(x)) : x \in X \setminus \{a\}\}$ be the graph of g.

Then the closure $\overline{G}$ of G in $X \times I^\infty$ is a compactification of G with the remainder $\{a\} \times A$. Moreover, the mapping $p : \overline{G} \to X$, being the restriction of the projection of $X \times I^\infty$ onto X, is an atomic mapping such that $p^{-1}(a)$ is homeomorphic to A and $p \mid p^{-1}(X \setminus \{a\}) : p^{-1}(X \setminus \{a\}) \to X \setminus \{a\}$ is a homeomorphism. Thus $M(X, A, a) = \overline{G}$ is a pseudosuspension of A over X at a.

Let $h_k : [0, 1] \to L(f(b_k), f(b_{k+1}))$ be a homeomorphism onto the arc $L(f(b_k), f(b_{k+1}))$ such that $h_k(0) = f(b_k)$ and $h_k(1) = f(b_{k+1})$. Let us define $\tilde{f} : \overline{G} \to W$ in the following way. Put $\tilde{f}((a, y)) = f(y)$ for $y \in A$. If $x \in X \setminus \{a\}$, then let $\tilde{f}((x, g(x))) = \tilde{f}((x, b_1)) = f(b_1)$ if $\rho(a, x) \geq \rho(a, x_1)$, and $\tilde{f}((x, g(x))) = h_k(t)$ if $\rho(a, x) = (1 - t) \cdot \rho(a, x_k) + t \cdot \rho(a, x_{k+1})$ (note that $\tilde{f}((x_k, b_k)) = f(b_k)$) . Then $\tilde{f}$ is continuous and onto W. To see that $\tilde{f}$ is continuous, let us check only that if $(y_n, t_n) \in G$, where $y_n \in X \setminus \{a\}$ and $t_n \in I^\infty$, and $(y_n, t_n) \to (a, t)$, where $t \in A$, then $\tilde{f}(y_n, t_n) \to \tilde{f}(a, t) = f(t)$.

For every $n \in N$ let k_n be such that $\rho(x_{k_n+1}, a) < \rho(y_n, a) \leq \rho(x_{k_n}, a)$. Then $\lim(x_{k_n}, b_{k_n}) = \lim(y_n, t_n) = (a, t)$, since t_n belongs to the interval with the end points b_{k_n} and b_{k_n+1} and $d(b_k, b_{k+1}) \to 0$, so $\lim d(t_n, b_{k_n}) = 0$. From the definition of $\tilde{f}$, $\tilde{f}(y_n, t_n) \in L(f(b_{k_n}), f(b_{k_n+1}))$. Since the diameters of $L(f(b_{k_n}), f(b_{k_n+1}))$ tend to 0, then $\lim \tilde{f}(y_n, t_n) = \lim f(b_{k_n})$. Since $\lim b_{k_n} = t$, then by the continuity of f, $\lim f(b_{k_n}) = f(t) = \tilde{f}(a, t)$, which ends the proof.

Note that the mapping $\tilde{f} : M(X, A, a) \to W$ constructed in Lemma 5.1 is in fact an extension of $f : A \to W$.

Lemma 5.2. *Let $W = L \cup S$ be a compactification of the ray L with a remainder S. Let $f : Y \to W$ be a mapping from a continuum Y onto W. Then there exists a sequence $A_1 \subset A_2 \subset \ldots$ of subcontinua of Y contained in $f^{-1}(L)$ such that if A is the closure of the union $\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i$, then $f \mid A$ is onto W_K.*

Proof. Suppose that L is the image of the half-line under a homeomorphism $h : [0, +\infty) \to L$. Let $I_n = h([0, n))$ for $n \in N$. Inductively we will construct continua $A_0 \subset A_1 \subset A_2 \subset \ldots$ and points $a_i \in A_i$ such that $f(a_i) = h(i)$ for every $i = 0, 1, 2, \ldots$

Let a_0 be a point such that $f(a_0) = h(0)$ and $A_0 = \{a_0\}$. Suppose that such continua A_i and points a_i are already constructed for $i \leq n$. Consider the open set $U_{n+1} = f^{-1}(I_{n+1})$ and let A_{n+1} be a component of the set $\overline{U_{n+1}}$ which contains a_n. Then A_{n+1} intersects the boundary of U_{n+1}, by a theorem of Janiszewski (see [21], §47, III, Theorem 1). Let $a_{n+1} \in A_{n+1} \cap Fr(U_{n+1})$. We have then $f(a_{n+1}) = h(n+1)$. Also, $f(A_i)$ is a continuum in W containing $h(0)$ and $h(i)$, hence $f(A_i) \supset I_i$. Now, if $A = \overline{\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i}$, then the map $f \mid A : A \to W$ is onto.

Recall that a mapping is *monotone* if each of its fibers is a continuum. A mapping $f : X \to Y$ is *weakly confluent* if for each continuum $B \subset Y$ there exists a continuum $A \subset X$ such that $f(A) = B$.

Proposition 5.3. *For every continuum W and every HI continuum Z of dimension ≥ 2, there exists a subcontinuum $Y \subset Z$ which can be mapped onto W.*

Proof. By a theorem of Hurewicz, there exists a monotone mapping h from some metric curve M onto the Hilbert cube Q (for the proof see [25], (19.1)). We can assume that $M \subset I^3$ and $W \subset Q$; then the preimage $h^{-1}(W)$ is a continuum in I^3.

By a theorem of Kelley [16], there is a monotone open mapping f of Z onto an infinite-dimensional HI continuum K. Since $\dim K \geq 3$, by a theorem of Mazurkiewicz [26] there is a weakly confluent mapping g of K onto I^3. Since the composition gf is weakly confluent, there exists a continuum $Y \subset Z$ such that $gf(Y) = h^{-1}(W)$. The composition hgf maps Y onto W.

Remark 5.4. (A) Proposition 5.3 can be strengthened to the following effect: *for every continuum W and every HI continuum Z of dimension ≥ 2, there exists a subcontinuum $Y \subset Z$ which admits a weakly confluent mapping onto W.* Indeed, by a theorem of Maćkowiak and Tymchatyn [25], (19.3), there is an HI curve L which admits a weakly confluent map g onto W. Then, by Proposition 5.3, there are a subcontinuum $Y \subset Z$ and a map f from Y onto L. Since L is HI, a theorem of Cook [8] implies that f is weakly confluent. Thus, the composition gf is also weakly confluent.

One can give also a more direct proof of the above fact, similar to the proof of (19.3) in [25].

(B) Let us show how Proposition 5.3 can be used in the proof of the following theorem of J.Krasinkiewicz [18]: *the hyperspace $C(X)$ of subcontinua of a nondegenerate HI plane continuum X is two-dimensional* (cf. also [19], p.42, where a similar argument is used).

First we prove that *no HI continuum of dimension ≥ 2 is an image of a plane continuum.* Suppose f is a map from a plane continuum X onto an HI continuum Z of dimension ≥ 2. Then, by Proposition 5.3, Z contains a continuum Y which admits a map onto a diadic solenoid. A theorem of Cook [8] implies that f is weakly confluent, and hence, X contains a continuum A such that $f(A) = Y$. A contradiction, since a diadic solenoid is not an image of a plane continuum (M.K.Fort, Jr. [12], cf. also W.T.Ingram [14]).

Now, it suffices to use a theorem by C.Eberhart and S.B.Nadler, Jr. [9] who proved that, if X is a nondegenerate HI continuum, then (i) $\dim C(X) = 2$ or $\dim C(X) = \infty$, and (ii) $\dim C(X) = 2$ if and only if each monotone open image of X is one-dimensional (note that a monotone open image of an HI continuum is HI).

Theorem 5.5. *For every compactification W of the ray (hence, for every Waraszkiewicz spiral), there exists a strongly chaotic HI hereditarily strongly infinite-dimensional Cantor manifold $Y(W)$ which can be mapped onto W.*

Proof. By Theorem 3.1 there exist two strongly chaotic HI hereditarily strongly infinite-dimensional Cantor manifolds E and Z such that no open nonempty subset of E embeds in Z. By Proposition 5.3 there is a continuum $Y \subset Z$ and a mapping f of Y onto W. By Lemma 5.2 there exists a continuum $A \subset Y$ satisfying the assumptions of Lemma 5.1, hence there exists a pseudosuspension $M(E, A, a)$ and a mapping $\tilde{f}$ of $M(E, A, a)$ onto W. Since $A \subset Z$, no open nonempty subset of E embeds in A, so by Lemma 3.5 the space $M(E, A, a)$ is strongly chaotic. By Remark 2.6 (see (iv)', (vii)' and (viii)'), $M(E, A, a)$ is an HI hereditarily strongly infinite-dimensional Cantor manifold, so we can put $Y(W) = M(E, A, a)$.

Similarly, one obtains the following theorem.

Theorem 5.6. *Let $n \geq 2$ be a fixed natural number. Then for every compactification W of the ray (hence, for every Waraszkiewicz spiral), there exists a strongly chaotic HI n-dimensional Cantor manifold $Y(W)$ which can be mapped onto W.*

Proof. The proof is similar to the proof of Theorem 5.5. By Theorem 3.1 there exist two strongly chaotic HI n-dimensional Cantor manifolds E and Z such that no open nonempty subset of E embeds in Z. By Proposition 5.3 there is a continuum $Y \subset Z$ and a mapping f of Y onto W. By Lemma 5.2 there exists a continuum $A \subset Y$ satisfying the assumptions of Lemma 5.1, hence there exists a pseudosuspension $M(E, A, a)$, where a is an arbitrary point of E, and a mapping $\tilde{f}$ of $M(E, A, a)$ onto W. Since $A \subset Z$, no open nonempty subset of E embeds in A, so by Lemma 3.5 the space $M(E, A, a)$ is strongly chaotic. By Remark 2.6 (see (iv)' and (vi)'), $M(E, A, a)$ is an HI n-dimensional Cantor manifold, so we can put $Y(W) = M(E, A, a)$.

Theorem 5.7. *Let $\alpha < \omega_1$ be an infinite ordinal number. Then for every compactification W of the ray (hence, for every Waraszkiewicz spiral) there exists a strongly chaotic HI continuum $Y(W)$ with $\mathrm{ind}Y(W) = \alpha$ (respectively, $\mathrm{Ind}Y(W) = \alpha$), which can be mapped onto W.*

Proof. From Theorem 3.1 it follows that there exists an HI strongly chaotic continuum E with $\mathrm{ind}E = \alpha$ ($\mathrm{Ind}E = \alpha$) every nonempty open subset of which is infinite-dimensional. From the proof of this theorem (cf. Proposition 3.3) it follows that E is of the form $X_\sigma = L(X, X_{s_i}, a_i)$, where $\mathrm{ind}X = 1$ and $\mathrm{ind}X_{s_i} = \alpha$ ($\mathrm{Ind}X_{s_i} = \alpha$) for every i. Let

$p : L(X, X_{s_i}, a_i) \to X$ be an atomic mapping described in Theorem 2.5. Let Z be any three-dimensional HI continuum. As in the proof of Theorem 5.5, applying Proposition 5.3 and Lemma 5.2, one obtains a continuum $A \subset Z$ that satisfies the assumptions of Lemma 5.1, where we take $X = E = X_\sigma$. Choose $a \in X \setminus \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty}\{a_i\}$ and observe that $p^{-1}(a)$ is a singleton. Then by Lemma 5.1 there exists a pseudosuspension $M(E, A, p^{-1}(a))$ and a mapping $\tilde{f}$ of $M(E, A, p^{-1}(a))$ onto W. The continuum $M(E, A, p^{-1}(a))$ is HI, since E and A are HI (see Remark 2.6 (iv)'). Note that $M(E, A, p^{-1}(a))$ admits an atomic mapping g onto the 1-dimensional continuum X such that $g^{-1}(a)$ is a copy of A and $p^{-1}(a_i)$ is a copy of X_{s_i} with $\mathrm{ind}X_{s_i} = \alpha$ ($\mathrm{Ind}X_{s_i} = \alpha$). It follows that $\mathrm{ind}M(E, A, p^{-1}(a)) = \alpha$ ($\mathrm{Ind}M(E, A, p^{-1}(a)) = \alpha$) (see the proof of Theorem 3.2 (v) in [30]). Recall that E is strongly chaotic and no open nonempty subset U of E embeds into the continuum A, since $\dim U = \infty$ and $\dim A \leq 3$. Thus by Lemma 3.5 the space $Y(W_K) = M(E, A, p^{-1}(a))$ is strongly chaotic.

Acknowledgements. We would like to thank the anonymous referee of the paper [27] whose idea has made an important contribution to this paper.

References

[1] J.M.Aarts and P. van Emde Boas, *Continua as remainders in compact extensions*, Nieuw Archief voor Wiskunde (3), XV, (1967), 34–37.
[2] R.D.Anderson and G.Choquet, *A plane continuum no two of whose nondegenerate subcontinua are homeomorphic: An application of inverse limits*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **10** (1959), 347–353.
[3] D.P.Bellamy, *Mappings of indecomposable continua*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **30** (1971), 179–180.
[4] D.P.Bellamy, *A non-metric indecomposable continuum,* Duke Math. J. **38** (1971), 15–20.
[5] R.H.Bing, *Higher-dimensional hereditarily indecomposable continua*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **71** (1951), 267–273.
[6] J.J.Charatonik and W.J.Charatonik, *Strongly chaotic dendrites*, Coll. Math. **70** (1996), 181–190.
[7] V.A.Chatyrko, *On hereditarily indecomposable non-metrizable continua*, Mat. Zametki **46** (1989), 122–125 (in Russian).
[8] H.Cook, *Continua which admit only the identity mapping onto non-degenerate subcontinua*, Fund. Math. **60** (1967), 241–249.
[9] C.Eberhart and S.B.Nadler, Jr., *The dimension of certain hyperspaces,* Bull. Acad. Polon. Sci. Sér. Sci. Math. Astronom. Phys. **19** (1971), 1027–1034.
[10] R.Engelking, ,,Theory of Dimensions, Finite and Infinite", Heldermann, 1995.
[11] V.V.Fedorchuk, *Bicompacta with non-coinciding dimensionalities* (in Russian), DAN SSSR **182** (1968), 275–277.
[12] M.K.Fort, Jr., *Images of plane continua,* Amer. J. Math. **81** (1959) 541–546.
[13] W.T.Ingram, *Hereditarily indecomposable tree-like continua*, Fund. Math. **103** (1979), 61–64.
[14] W.T.Ingram, *Concerning images of continua,* Topology Proc. **16** (1991), 89–93.
[15] Z.Janiszewski, *Über die Bergiffe "Linie" und "Fläche"*, Proc. Fifth Inter. Congress of Mathematicians (Cambridge) II (1912), 126–128.
[16] J.L.Kelley, *Hyperspaces of a continuum,* Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **52** (1942), 22–36.
[17] B.Knaster, *Un continu dont tout sous-continu est indécomposable*, Fund. Math. **3** (1922), 247–286.

[18] J.Krasinkiewicz, *On the hyperspaces of certain plane continua,* Bull. Acad. Polon. Sci. Sér. Sci. Math. Astronom. Phys. **23** (1975), 981–983.
[19] J.Krasinkiewicz, *On pointed 1-movability and related notions*, Fund. Math. **116** (1981), 29–52.
[20] J.Krzempek, *Some examples of higher-dimensional rigid continua*, Houston J. Math. 35 (2009), 485–500.
[21] K.Kuratowski, "Topology", vols. I, II, Academic Press, New York 1966, 1968.
[22] I.K.Lifanov, *On the dimension of the product of bicompacta*, DAN SSSR 182 (1968), 1274–1277 (in Russian). (English translation: Soviet Math. Dokl. 9 (1968), 1280–1283)
[23] T.Maćkowiak, *The condensation of singularities in arc-like continua*, Houston J. of Math. **11** (1985), 535–558.
[24] T.Maćkowiak, *Singular arc-like continua*, Dissertationes Math. **257** (1986), 5–35.
[25] T.Maćkowiak and E.D.Tymchatyn, *Continuous mappings on continua II*, Dissertationes Math. **225** (1984).
[26] S.Mazurkiewicz, *Sur l'existence des continues indécomposables*, Fund. Math. **25** (1935), 327–328.
[27] E.Pol, *On hereditarily indecomposable continua, Henderson compacta and a question of Yohe*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **130** (2002), 2789–2795.
[28] E.Pol, *Collections of higher-dimensional hereditarily indecomposable continua, with incomparable Fréchet types*, Topology and Appl. **146–147** (2005), 547–561.
[29] E.Pol, *Hereditarily indecomposable continua with finitely many continuous surjections*, Bol. Soc. Mat. Mexicana Vol.**11** (2005), 139–148.
[30] E.Pol and M.Reńska, *On Bing points in infinite-dimensional hereditarily indecomposable continua*, Topology and Appl. **123** (2002), 507–522.
[31] M.Reńska, *Rigid hereditarily indecomposable continua*, Topology and Appl. **126** (2002), 145–152.
[32] J.T.Rogers, Jr., *Orbits of higher-dimensional hereditarily indecomposable continua*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **95** (1985), 483–486
[33] R.L.Russo, *Universal continua*, Fund. Math. **105** (1979), 41–60.
[34] L.R.Rubin, *Hereditarily strongly infinite-dimensional spaces*, Michigan Math. J. **27** (1980), 65–73.
[35] L.A.Tumarkin, *On Cantorian manifolds of an infinite number of dimensions*, DAN SSSR 115 (1957), 244–246 (in Russian).
[36] Z.Waraszkiewicz, *Sur un probléme de M.H.Hahn*, Fund. Math. **18** (1932), 118–137.

Institute of Mathematics, Silesian University of Technology, Kaszubska 23, 44-100 Gliwice, Poland
E-mail address: jkrzempek.math@gmail.com

Institute of Mathematics, University of Warsaw, Banacha 2, 02-197 Warszawa, Poland
E-mail address: pol@mimuw.edu.pl